



Don't Gamble with Our Homes



The NoTMO are campaigning against having a Tenant Management Organisation (TMO) which is a group of residents who take over the running of the estate from the Council.

**NO TMO GAGGED! THE
TMO TRY TO HIDE THE**



TRUTH!

The NoTMO campaign have obtained an official council document under the Freedom of Information Act which shows that the TMO are financially irresponsible.

The TMO have bullied our webhosting company and they made us take it off our website. The TMO group try to gag and censor any criticism of themselves. Do you want them running your home?

Here's the information we obtained over the page ►►►►►►

The NoTMO campaign wishes to apologise to the Nigerian community for any distress caused by our previous leaflet. This was not intended and we are sorry if we have caused any.



NOTMO Campaigning against a TMO on the Unwin and Friary estate

The Freedom of Information Act Request to Southwark Council:

"At some time in the past, during the period the TMO has been in existence, a sum of money was paid to a trainer, who disappeared without providing the training to the TMO committee as he was contracted to.

1. Who was the trainer? 2. When did this happen? 3. How much money did this involve?
4. What was the outcome of the investigation by Southwark Council into the matter?"

The Reply, FOIA Request 6108 contact: accessinfo@southwark.gov.uk

"Mr Jackson is referring to events regarding the original lead advisor to the Unwin & Friary TMO in 2006.

From 2000 Chief Emmanuel Nweke of Tenant Initiatives Development Services (**TIDES**) UK Ltd was the government approved Section 16 agent (trainer/advisor) for the Unwin & Friary TMO (UFTMO).

On 7 March 2006 it came to the attention of the Principal Project Officer of what was known as the Council's Tenant Management Support team, that **UFTMO had been asked by Mr Nweke for a payment of £18, 788 from their government and Council (75%/25%) development grant to be into his personal bank account rather than to TIDES' company account, and that the TMO had complied with this request.**"

The Investigation:

"an investigation was carried out by the department for Communities and Local Government (Internal Audit Service). The report of the investigation (marked Restricted – Investigation) was sent to LB Southwark in November 2006."

TIDES closed down and Mr Nweke disappeared

"In May 2007 LB Southwark received a counsel opinion that LB Southwark had no claim to recover the money from Mr Nweke and also that it would not be 'straightforward' for the TMO to do so as it would have to prove that Mr Nweke had fraudulently misled it into making a payment directly to him. On the basis of the advice both from CLG and legal counsel it was decided not to pursue the matter further."

**In other words, it was too difficult to pursue Mr Nweke
We ask the TMO - can you show us how the £18, 788 of our
money was used properly as you claim? Why would the**

Government investigate if it was work already completed?